



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004.
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2008

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$ 1,740.00)

Complete if Known	
Application Number	10/577,492-Conf. #6800
Filing Date	April 27, 2006
First Named Inventor	Carlo Dall'Aglio
Examiner Name	A. R. Cohen
Art Unit	2859
Attorney Docket No.	M1885.0057/P057

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify): _____

Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 04-1073 Deposit Account Name: Dickstein Shapiro LLP

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
 Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 Credit any overpayments

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	310	155	510	255	210	105	
Design	210	105	100	50	130	65	
Plant	210	105	310	155	160	80	
Reissue	310	155	510	255	620	310	
Provisional	210	105	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description

Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
	50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	200	100

Multiple dependent claims 360 180

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Multiple Dependent Claims	
14	- 20 =	x	=	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
1	- 3 =	x	=

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$260 (\$130 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).


Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
_____	- 100 =	/50 = (round up to a whole number)	x	=

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee	1,440.00
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ...	300.00

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	 #41,198	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371	Telephone	(202) 420-2232
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Amico	Date	November 13, 2007		



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Carlo Dall'Aglio

Application No.: 10/577,492

Confirmation No.: 6800

Filed: April 27, 2006

Art Unit: 2859

For: HEAD FOR THE LINEAR DIMENSION
CHECKING OF MECHANICAL PIECES

Examiner: Cohen, Amy R.

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants have reviewed the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, contained in the Notice of Allowance mailed on August 10, 2007, and wish to submit the following comments. The Statement paraphrases several limitations from independent claim 1 as reasons for allowance of the claims. Initially, Applicant wishes to point out that the scope of claim 1 is based on the actual language of claim 1. In addition, the stated reasons for allowance should be interpreted as highlighting only some of the reasons why claim 1 is allowable. No further limitations of the claims should be inferred from the Statement.

Moreover, dependent claims 2-14 recite additional limitations which, in combination with their base claim, render the dependent claims allowable over the prior art. The Statement also lists prior art deemed "pertinent to applicant's disclosure." Applicant makes no comment and does not acquiesce with the Statement's characterizations of prior art. Otherwise, Applicant agrees with the Examiner that the allowed claims distinguish over the prior art.

Dated: November 13, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By H. Utz #41,198

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

Peter A. Veytsman

Registration No.: 45,920

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street NW

Washington, DC 20009

(202) 240-2200

Attorney for Applicant



Docket No.: M1885.0057/P057

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Carlo Dall'Aglio

Application No.: 10/577,492

Allowed: August 10, 2007

Filed: April 27, 2006

Art Unit: 2859

For: **HEAD FOR THE LINEAR DIMENSION
CHECKING OF MECHANICAL PIECES**

Examiner: A. R. Cohen

SUBMISSION OF REPLACEMENT DRAWINGS

MS PGPUB Drawings
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Submitted herewith is one set (4 sheets, Figs. 1-6) of Replacement Drawings for filing in the above-identified patent application. The Replacement Drawings are cleaner versions of the originally-filed drawings; nothing has been added or removed. The subject application has been allowed. When printing the patent, kindly substitute the enclosed Replacement Drawings for the originally-filed drawings.

Dated: November 13, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By THOMAS J. D'AMICO

Thomas J. D'Amico
Registration No.: 28,371
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-5403
(202) 420-2200
Attorney for Applicant